

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE BOARD OF
CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY HELD ON
TUESDAY, THE 24TH MAY, 1966 AT 9.00 A.M.

24

No. 170/BM/66.

The following were present:-

1. Chairman.
2. F.A/Member.
3. Member (A).
4. Director General Works.
5. Director Planning.
6. Director Horticulture.
7. Deputy Director (Arch).
8. Establishment Officer.
9. Mr. Kato, Japanese Landscape Architect (By special invitation).
10. Statistical Programming & Coordination Officer.

Item No. I

No. 1050/170/66

Sub: EMPLOYMENT OF PAKISTANI STUDENTS OF
ARCHITECTURE IN LONDON, AS LANDSCAPE DESIGNERS

The Establishment officer stated that M/s. Derek Love Joy Associates, London have recommended that two Pakistani students of architecture, who are students members of the RIBA and are also receiving training in landscape architecture with them, may be employed by the C.D.A. The Establishment Officer also said that further particulars and details about the two individuals have already been asked for. After considering the proposal, the Board decided that the case be put up after the particulars/details are received.

Item No. II

No. 1051/170/66

Sub:

TRANSFER OF FOREST AREAS ON MARGALLA HILLS
FROM WEST PAKISTAN GOVERNMENT TO C.D.A.

The Board considered the summary prepared by the Director of Horticulture and decided that an agreement for the transfer of the entire forest area (approximately 13,000 acres) from the West Pakistan Government should be concluded on the terms that the taking of possession and payment for the entire area are phased over an agreed time schedule. An area covering 5,511 acres may be taken over by the C.D.A. at present and the remaining area should not be taken over till after 1970. The Director of Horticulture was advised to progress the case with the West Pakistan Government accordingly.

Item No. III.

No. 122/170/65

Sub:

DESIGN OF RAILING AT EAST VIEW
(SHAKARPARIAN) IN THE N.S.C.

The design of the railing proposed by Mr. Kato was examined and it was decided that G.I. pipe railing be provided at the East View Point. It was decided that a design for the railing be prepared by the Director of Planning which should be put up to the Board together with its cost estimates.

Item No. IV.

No. 123/170/65.

Sub:

AREA FOR K & L TYPE HOUSES IN F5/2.

The Director of Planning presented his revised proposal about the area for construction of K & L type houses in F5-2. After due consideration it was decided that the layout plan of entire sub-sector F5-2 be supplied to the competing architects with the following conditions, that;

- (i) there should be no houses on hill tops which should be left, as natural features for plantation and parks.
- (ii) the houses should not face the Sectt. Buildings;
- (iii) the houses should be planned in a compact and contiguous area as far as possible.
- (iv) economy in the cost of development of the area should be kept in mind.

Item No. V.

No. 124/170/65

Sub:

THE DESIGN OF G TYPE HOUSE FOR G6-3.

In compliance with the previous Board decision, the D.D. (Arch) presented the two designs of G type house prepared by him and by Mr. Chishti, for comparison of the built up areas. It was noted that Mr. Chishti had exceeded the permissible built up area for a G type house. The design of the house presented by D.D. (Arch) was considered to be the best in that class of houses except that in this case also, the authorized built up area had been exceeded. D.D. (Arch) was, therefore, directed to reduce the area to the permissible limit as far as possible. His present design of G type house should, however, be retained for consideration while selecting H type house (with certain modifications, if considered necessary).

Item No. VI.

Sub: DEPARTMENT STORE IN CLASS V CENTRE
OF SECTOR G-6.

The Board examined the design and model of the Department Store for G6-V, prepared by Mr. Foster and decided that this design should be starting point for discussions with a prospective buyer.

Item No. VII.

Sub: BRANCH OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS BY M/s
MUNNAWAR & CO.

After considering all aspects of the case, it was decided by the Board that since the private companies had not fulfilled their commitments, show-cause notices to all private companies be issued asking them why their plots should not be resumed by C.D.A. under clause 13 of the agreement.

-----ooOoo-----