

MINUTES OF THE BOARD MEETING HELD
ON SATURDAY THE 2ND OCTOBER, 1971.

35

The meeting of the Board of Capital Development Authority was held on Saturday, the 2nd October, 1971 at 10.00 A.M. in the Conference Hall. Maj General Bashir Ahmad, Chairman, presided. Following were present :-

1. Member (Tech)
2. Member (Admn)
3. F.A./Member.
4. Addl: Commissioner, R'Pindi.
5. Director General Works.
6. Director General Medical & Health Services.
7. Secretary.
8. Director Architecture.
9. Director Planning.
10. Director Municipal Admn:
11. Director Coordination & Municipal Administration.
12. Director Design.
13. Director Public Relations.
14. Director Maintenance.
15. Director Addit & Accounts.
16. Director Finance.
17. Addl: Director Rehabilitation.
18. Law Officer.
19. S & F Officer.
20. Estate Officer.

Following decisions were taken in the meeting.

- NO. 2938/442/71
1. Approval of building plans for a cinema house in Class-V Centre in Ramna-7.

no. 271/18F-4470

1) Dir Arch
2) Dir Pl.

Director Architecture explained the salient features of the cinema house. It was observed that there was no provision of car park in the cinema. The Board, therefore, deferred the plan with the observation that the owner should be required to provide a car park.

2. Additions/alterations to Habib Bank,
Aabpara Branch (Shop Nos. 646-648).

The additions/alterations planned were explained to the Board by the Director Architecture. The Board allowed the alterations in the shops, subject to the condition that if the shops are located in I & T Centre and not the shopping Centre it should be explained to the bank that the additions/alterations to be carried out in the premises should not be used as pretext to stay in Aabpara area whenever the bank will be required to move to the proper place meant for banks. The banks are allowed to carry on their business from year to year basis in the shops temporarily.

3. Construction of Low Cost Houses for displaced persons of Islamabad in Sector I-9, Islamabad.

The Director Architecture explained the violations done by the Construction Companies in the construction of low cost houses for displaced persons of Islamabad. The Addl: Director Rehabilitation explained that the changes had to be made in the designs in accordance with the wishes of the purchasers. The Board observed that since the designs have been changed in accordance with the wishes of the purchasers, and there was no disturbance of outside facade etc., a case for composition with penalty should be made out by the Director Architecture and put up to the Board.

Any other item

1. Setting up of Kiosks

Director Horticulture displayed various sites around Rawal Lake for setting up the proposed kiosks for light refreshments. The Board decided that an advertisement should be issued suggesting that recreation and refreshment places are being proposed in the recreational area around Rawal Lake; the intending caterers

may see the place and apply for the lease of it. Director Horticulture, Director Finance, Director Architecture and Estate Officer should examine the proposed terms & conditions of lease of kiosks and take further steps in this regard.

3. Review of awards in respect of 24 villages.

2. Review of awards in respect of 24 villages.

The Board considered the report of the Committee headed by the Secretary, constituted vide Board decision dated 18.8.1971. The Board also heard the comments of the Addl: Commissioner, which is the appellate authority for review cases, and D.C. CDA. The Board agreed that the recommendations of the Accounts Committee put up to the Board on 22.12.1969 did not sufficiently reflect the correct state of affairs and hence the decision taken to file review in all cases. The comparative statement placed before the Board indicate that the awarded rates in case of many villages are in accordance with the actual market rates prevalent in the year 1954-58 in those villages. In case of Jhangi Syedan the awarded rate is in fact lower than the average rate in that village. The Board, therefore, decided that the review proceedings in cases of Chak Beera Singh, Kot Nathial, Sumbal Korak, Maujuan, and Jhangi Syedan may be withdrawn as there was no ground for going in review in these villages. In respect of other villages, the review proceedings should continue because the owners have gone in appeal in most of the cases and it would be appropriate to wait for the outcome of the appeals.

Sheikh