

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL BOARD MEETING HELD  
ON 21ST MAY, 1970. — 24

A special meeting of the Board of Capital Development Authority took place on Thursday, the 21st May, 1970. Major General Bashir Ahmad, Chairman, CDA presided. Following attended :-

1. Member Administration.
  2. Member Technical.
  3. Financial Adviser/Member.
  4. Secretary.
- 

ALLOTMENT OF CINEMA SITE IN KENDRO RAMNA-6,  
MEASURING (300' x 120') 4000 SQUARE YARDS.

The Board felt that there was no necessity for another Cinema in this sector as one Cinema already exists. There is, however, a need for a cinema in G-7. The intention earlier was to offer the plot for cinema in sector G-7 for sale. Obviously it would not be in the interest of C.D.A. to dispose off the plot in Kendro Ramna-6 at this stage because this is likely to fetch more price in due course. Moreover the party which had offered to purchase the plot in Kendro Ramna-6 is the same which has purchased the Melody Cinema. This party is not interested to buy plot in G-7 sector. The Board, therefore, decided that the offer for the plot in Kendro-6 should not be accepted. However, the plot in sector G-7 should again be advertised for sale. The advertisement should inter-alia provide for :-

- a. The plot shall be <sup>base</sup> sold to highest bidder over and above the reserve price.
- b. Among other things being equal the highest bidder paying in lumpsum shall be given preference.

2. ENQUIRY REPORT AGAINST MR. SHAKAR HASSAN,  
ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR, REHABILITATION.

The Board considered the enquiry report against Mr. Shakar Hassan. The charge-sheet served on him contained the following charges:-

- (1). You being a public servant while serving as Addl: Director Lands, CDA during the year 1967 and 1968 did not examine for unknown reasons all the relevant record pertaining to the award in respect of land owned by one Ch:Waris Ali of village Tarlia Kalan before embarking upon the review of this award.
- (2). You were particularly advised in writing by the Law Officer of the CDA that the file of this case held by the Establishment Section, Secretariat contained some information which might be of use to you but despite that you did not consult this file and proceeded further with the case without going through the vital points.
- (3). You were fully aware of the background of this case but, for unknown reasons, did not take into account the mis-representation of facts and fraudulent representation of Revenue Record for which reasons the original award was to be reviewed.

- (4). You know full well that appeal before Commissioner, CDA against an order passed by the D.C., CDA could be filed within 15 days of its announcement but a copy of the order announced on December 17, 1967 was sent to Estab; Section Sectt: on January 22, 1968 i.e. after one month and six days and
- (5). You disregarded the interest of the CDA as a result of which CDA was deprived of its lawful demand of reduction of the amount of compensation given in the original award.

The Enquiry Officer held Mr. Shakar Hassan guilty of charges No.1,3 & 5. The Board accepted the findings of the Enquiry Officer and came to the conclusion that prima facie it was a fit case in which major penalty can be imposed. Mr. Shakar Hassan should be given a notice as provided under the rules that why a major penalty should not be imposed. Mr. Shakar Hassan should be given an opportunity to be present before the Board after his explanation is received before any further action is taken.

3. TO CONSIDER WHETHER LT.COL.TUFAIL, DIRECTOR PURCHASES SHOULD BE SUSPENDED OR NOT.

The Board vide its minutes of the Special meeting held on 16th May, 1970 decided to place the officers under suspension involved in the purchase, preparation of Indent, acceptance of tenders and inspection of various items i.e. Fluorescent tubes, Surf Soap. Phenyle, Road Paint etc.

The original indent for the supply of Phenyle was given by the Comptroller, Sectt: Buildings who did not specify the trade mark of the Phenyle. The Purchase Directorate obviously should not have either accepted the indent or should have ascertained to correct trade mark of the Phenyle before initiating purchase action and this could avoid spurious Phenyle. In this respect the Director Purchase was guided by the Deputy Director (Technical). A perusal of tender inquiry shows that it was floated by the Deputy Director (Technical). The D.D.(T) while submitting the case recommended the acceptance of the lowest quotation. The Director Purchase accepted and the purchases were made on the basis of lowest quotation. At no stage the question of trade mark or authenticity of manufacturers was referred to Director Purchase nor after supply the Phenyle was tested chemically. Obviously Director Purchase was not directly involved as he approved the lowest quotation and there is no allegation as such. The D.D.(T) who floated tender enquiry has already been suspended for his casual treatment of the case. It was, therefore, decided that he should not be suspended or charge-sheeted.

Regarding D.D.(E&M), the record shows that the Fluorescent Tubes were purchased by the Purchase Dte. These were passed on the inspection of D.D.(T) and D.D.(Stores) took those on ledgers. The representative of D.D.(E&M) at the time of issue of these pointed out the defect and out of 850 tubes offered to him by the Stores Directorate only 700 were accepted. Obviously both the representatives and the D.D.(E&M) were not responsible for the defective material purchased by the Purchase Directorate. Therefore, no action should be taken against them.



It was further desired that charge sheets should be served on other officers by tomorrow i.e. 22.5.1970. The schedule of enquiry should be so drawn that the enquiry should be completed within two months and the parent departments of the officers be informed about their suspension and enquiry. In the meantime a reference be made to the parent departments that the officers had been placed under suspension because of the charges levelled against them. The authorities in the parent departments should be requested to take measures to withdraw them as soon as the enquiry was completed.

In order to avoid dislocation of work, Director Purchases should be requested to make alternative arrangements to look after their work. The Board observed that it would not be difficult to look after the work of Deputy Directors placed under suspension. However, immediate steps may be taken to look after the work of D.D.(T). The proposal in this regard be put up to the Chairman for replacing the D.D.(T).

ANY OTHER ITEM

All Directors should be asked to send a statement of outstanding dues against individuals Corporations/ Departments. Such statement should be put up to the Secretary/ Chairman within a week. The Directors should be required to intimate what action was being taken against the defaulters. Henceforth a fortnightly report of recoveries will be made on the prescribed proforma (to be specified by Secretary). Any omission brought to the notice of the Chairman will be severely dealt with.

Director Municipal Administration shall submit the record for fortnightly inspection of the Chairman prepared regarding levy of taxes and collection of dues from public and defaulters. He should be asked to put up on Saturday, the record so far prepared by him for the inspection of the Chairman. He should further be given deadline of 30th June for the completion of the record so that proper budget projections of the income likely to be accrued to the Authority on account of levy of taxes etc. are made.