The following were present :-

1. Chairman.

2. F.A./Member.

3. Member (Administration).

4. Director General Works/Member.

5. Director (W&S).
6. Director Horticulture.
7. Director Planning.

8. Director Public Relations.

9. Addl. Director (Reh.).

10. Estate Officer.

11. Mr. Colin J. Franklin, Rep. of D.L.A.

12. Statistical, Programming & Coordination Officer.

1. Preservation of Landscape in Islamabad.

#### DECISION

After discussion, the following decisions were taken :-

- (i). In order to meet the requirements of the private sector convenient places for earth digging within easy reach should be suggested by the Director Planning in each sector.
- (ii). For Private housing the Building Control staff should check that the earth being used/supplied was taken only from the authorized places.
- (iii). The field officers of the Directorate General Works and Horticulture Directorate, whenever they see the movement of earth, should check that the earth was not removed from unauthorized places. All unauthorized cases should be reported to the A.D.R. for suitable action.
  - (iv). The offenders should be severely punished and if they were C.D.A. contractors they should be severely compounded.
    - (v). Before the proposed scheme for the Drainage & Conservation of landscape was prepared, check dams should be constructed where possible.

68 2. Planting & Turfing Programme for Monsoon Season (July/September, 1968).

The programme submitted by the Director Horticulture was approved.

Accounts
once officer

# 3. Construction of Public Schools in Islamabad.

The summary submitted by the Director Planning was considered. It was felt that the National Park area was not suitable as that would involve too much distance for day scholars. The schools should, therefore, be provided in 'H' series sectors.

After some consideration it was decided that, on first come first served basis, site for the International School should be given in sector H-9 and the site for the Habib Trust School should be given in sector H-10.

4. Sanction for execution of Priority I works in anticipation of Government's approval of the Revised PC-Is for Roads & Highways.

The summary on the subject was considered. The F.A./M explained the objections raised in the last C.D.W.P. meeting on expenditure in excess of the approved amount of the PC-I. It was emphasized that revision or preparation of the new PC-Is, was emphasized that revision or preparation of the PC-Is were as the case may be, should be expedited. If the PC-Is were submitted expeditiously they could be processed by the Secretariat for early approval. In the meantime all contracting formalities could be completed except awarding the works. The work could then be awarded immediately on approval of the PC-I.

It was, however, considered that for the work listed at serial numbers 3,4,9,10,13 & 19 of Priority-I and 3 of Priority-II, separate schemes should be prepared and submitted to the Board.

### DECISION

It was decided that revision of old PC-Is and preparation of new PC-I should be expedited. For the works indicated by the F.A./Member separate schemes should be prepared & submitted to the Board for approval and sanction. For other works all formalities except award of work should be completed so that the work could be awarded as soon as formal approval of the PC-Is was obtained.

## 5. Pumping of water from Rawal Lake

0

The proposal submitted by the Director (W&S) for pumping of water from Rawal Lake was considered by the Board. During discussion, the following points were made:-

(i). The Rawal Lake is meant to cater for the water requirements of Rawalpindi. There is always shortage of water in Rawalpindi Cantt. & city. The water requirement of Rawalpindi and Islamabad would always be conflicting. We should not, therefore, make any arrangement which was in conflict with the requirements of Rawalpindi at present or later.

- (ii). Two stage pumping over shorter distances was economical & efficient than one stage pumping over long distance.
- (iii). Instead of tapping water from the canal, it should be tapped from the Rawal Lake. That would save the cost of pipe and pumping head.
  - (iv). The Director Planning stated that the proposed site of the Reservoir was not suitable. The Director explained the planning & general features of the land.
    - (v). The questions regarding the single & double stage pumping and the sites for the Reservoir & the sedimentation tanks should be examined.
  - (vi). It is basically accepted that water supply in Islamabad should be supplemented. If the work was not started immediately, it would not be possible to complete before the next summer.
- (vii). The M.S. pipes would be too costly. The P.R.C.C. pipes should be used.
- (viii). The Reservoir could be constructed against the provisions in the approved PC-I.

#### DECISION

After consideration, the following decisions were taken :-

- (i). Director Planning should examine and suggest reasonable site for the Reservoir.
- (ii). Possibilities of tapping water from the Lake and Water Works along the lake should be investigated and a scheme be prepared.
- (iii). The use of P.R.C.C. pipes, instead of the M.S.pipes, should be considered while preparing the scheme.
- (iv). The approved PC-I should be analysed and the works which are covered within the approved provisions of the PC-I should be undertaken. For other works a separate scheme should be prepared.