

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE BOARD OF CAPITAL
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY HELD AT 9.00 A.M. ON
TUESDAY, THE 7TH APRIL, 1970.

A meeting of the Board of Capital Development Authority took place at 9.00 A.M. on Tuesday, the 7th April, 1970. Major General Bashir Ahmad, Chairman, CDA., presided. Following attended :-

1. Member Administration.
2. Member Technical.
3. Financial Adviser/Member.
4. Secretary.
5. D.G.W.
6. D.G.M & H.S.
7. Director Stores.
8. Director Horticulture.
9. Director Architecture.
10. Director Works.
11. Director Municipal Administration.
12. S.P & C.O.
13. Addl: Director Lands.
14. Addl: Director Rehabilitation.
15. Estate Officer.
16. D.D. Planning (U.P.).

The following items were included in the agenda :-

- i. Layout plan of model village New Malpur in the National Park Area of Islamabad.
- ii. Additional requirements for the Supreme Court Building at Islamabad.

1. LAYOUT PLAN OF MODEL VILLAGE NEW MALPUR IN
THE NATIONAL PARK AREA OF ISLAMABAD.

The summary presented by Mr. Ishtiaq Ahmad, DD(UP) was considered. It was observed that the plan prepared for re-settlement of displaced persons was not realistic because it did not cater for the actual number of displaced persons likely to be claimants and the basic services required to be provided. A cursory examination revealed that the re-settlement of displaced persons according to plan presented in the Board would mean encouraging slums in the National Park Area. The objective of the National Park Area can not be ignored while preparing any such scheme as it cannot be visualized to have slums on planned basis in the proximity of Federal Capital. The Board therefore, decided that the scheme should be re-prepared keeping in view the following :-

- i. Ultimate objectives of the National Park should be maintained.
- ii. The basic services like roads, water supply, drainage, electricity, medical facilities and education will have to be catered for.
- iii. Number of claimants who would like to come up for re-settlement would go up as soon as re-settlement operations start. Therefore, the scheme will have to cater for larger area preferably for about 12 to 15 thousand families.

- iv. The present village sites cannot be considered as the best site for re-settlement. Alternative sites should also be considered and an integrated plan for re-settlement of displaced persons either in one village or in number of villages should be considered.
- v. Since the paying capacity of dis-placed persons is very low, the object of providing low-cost housing plots should be kept in view.

2. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT FOR THE SUPREME COURT BUILDING AT ISLAMABAD.

The summary presented by the Director Architecture was discussed at length. The Board decided as follows :-

Item (a). approved.

Item (b). Director Architecture should verify from the Registrar of the Supreme Court what is the actual definition of 'near the building', so that necessary provisions can be made accordingly.

Additional parking space is not needed inside the building. In fact while submitting the scheme to ECNEC it should be observed that parking space has been kept in deference to the wishes of the Supreme Court.

Item (c). Approved.

Item (d). Approved.

Item (e). Approved. While communicating the actual requirements for the library room, the Registrar may be consulted about the number of books to be kept in the library.

Item (f). Approved. Except the accommodation for number of Law Officers raised to seven.

Item (g). approved.

Item (h). The Board decided to re-examine the question of providing generating plant. Since such a generating plant is also proposed for National Assembly Hall, Presidential House, it would be worthwhile to examine the economy of providing one generating plant for all the buildings in the Administrative Block. The economy of the alternative scheme should be examined and put up to the Board.

3. ANY OTHER ITEM.

The summary prepared by Addl: Director Rehabilitation regarding re-settlement of displaced persons and determination of the priorities for allotment was discussed. The Board observed that linked with the question of priorities is the number of displaced persons who are to be accommodated in the re-settlement scheme, at present and the likelihood of the claimants in the future. It has been experienced that such a liability once accepted multiplies many-fold and thus the plan prepared by the Addl: Director Rehabilitation falls short of the perspective requirements for the re-settlement of all the displaced persons. At this stage any decision determining priorities would be pre-mature for want of adequate information. The Board, therefore, decided to re-examine the scheme keeping in view the followings :-

a)...../3

- 39
- a). How many families have been or likely to be affected by the acquisition proceedings so far undertaken.
 - b). How many families have been given Eligibility Certificates for re-settlement on land in Colony Districts and how many have not yet been given Eligibility Certificates.
 - c). Once the re-settlement operation starts, it appears that all those who have been displaced from Islamabad would come forward with a claim of plot. The scheme on the anvil as at present envisages only for 4000 plots. The pressure on the other hand on the Authority of such claimants would be immeasurable. The Board, therefore, felt that the scheme should at least cater for 12 to 15 thousand plots. This does not mean that the Authority will be obliged to accommodate all the displaced persons even those who have taken compensation and settled elsewhere. But in view of experience of similar operations, it is essential to cater for greater number of plots at this stage and families to be settled there on rather than to amend the scheme at a later stage.
 - d) The perspective plan should, therefore, be drawn as already decided against item No.I. The priorities for allotment shall thereafter be determined by the Board.

2. While considering the O & M Wing report the Board observed that the following issues should be looked into by F.A./Member :-

- 1/70
- i). Procedure of indenting needs to be looked into as it has resulted in accumulation of surplus stores and thus wastage of crores of rupees.
 - ii). Budgeting procedure needs to be streamlined. As pointed out by the D.G.W., a number of schemes have been objected to by the Cabinet Division on the basis that specific provision had not been made in the A.D.P., although those schemes fall within the competence of the Authority and expenditure is being met within the general provision made in this behalf. The F.A./Member would study the procedure for incurring expenditure on such emergent schemes not included in the A.D.P., and apprise the Chairman of the correct position for taking up with Cabinet Division if necessary.

(AYA)

(Purchases)

(Stores)

10